

APPEAL, Pro Se ECF Filer, Pro Se Plaintiff

**U.S. District Court  
District of Rhode Island (Providence)  
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:23-cv-00126-WES-PAS**

Seguin v. Rhode Island Department of Human Services et al      Date Filed: 03/30/2023  
Assigned to: District Judge William E. Smith      Date Terminated: 10/19/2023  
Referred to: Magistrate Judge Patricia A. Sullivan      Jury Demand: Both  
Demand: \$3,000,000      Nature of Suit: 440 Civil Rights: Other  
Case in other court: First Circuit, 23-01967 (requires PACER  
login)

Cause: 18:1962 Racketeering (RICO) Act

**Plaintiff**

**Mary Seguin**

represented by **Mary Seguin**  
P.O. Box 22022  
Houston, TX 77019  
281-744-2016  
Email: [maryseguin22022@gmail.com](mailto:maryseguin22022@gmail.com)  
PRO SE

V.

**Defendant**

**Rhode Island Department of Human Services**  
*In its official capacity*

represented by **Marissa D. Pizana**  
RI Department of Attorney General  
Civil Division  
150 South Main Street  
Providence, RI 02903  
401-274-4400  
Email: [mpizana@riag.ri.gov](mailto:mpizana@riag.ri.gov)  
**ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED**

**Defendant**

**Rhode Island Department of Human Services Office Of Child Support Services**  
*In its official capacity*

represented by **Marissa D. Pizana**  
(See above for address)  
**ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED**

**Defendant**

**Gero Meyersiek**  
*In his individual and official capacity*

represented by **Joanna M. Achille**  
Burns & Levinson LLP  
1 Citizens Plaza  
Providence, RI 02903  
(401) 831-8330  
Fax: (617) 345-3299  
Email: [jachille@burnslev.com](mailto:jachille@burnslev.com)  
**LEAD ATTORNEY**

*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Defendant**

**Michael D. Coleman**

*In his individual and official capacity*

**Defendant**

**Deborah A. Barclay**

*In her individual and official capacity*

**Defendant**

**Lisa Pinsoneault**

*In her individual and official capacity*

**Defendant**

**Carl Beauregard**

*In his individual and official capacity*

**Defendant**

**Kevin Tighe**

**Defendant**

**Monique Bonin**

**Defendant**

**Frank Dibiase**

**Defendant**

**Wendy A. Fobert**

**Defendant**

**Karla Caballeros**

**Defendant**

**Timothy Flynn**

**Defendant**

**Rhode Island Court System**

**Defendant**

**Paul A Sutell**

*In his individual and official capacity  
as Executive Head OF Rhode Island State  
Court System*

**Defendant**

**Rhode Island Administrative Office of  
State Courts**

**Defendant**

**Rhode Island Administrative Office of  
the Superior Court**

**Defendant**

**Rhode Island Judicial Council**

**Defendant**

**Rhode Island Superior Court**

**Defendant**

**Rhode Island Superior Court Judicial  
Council**

**Defendant**

**The Judicial Technology Center**

**Defendant**

**Julie Hamil**

**Defendant**

**Marisa P. Brown**

**Defendant**

**John Joseph Baxter, Jr.**

**Defendant**

**Justin Correa**

**Defendant**

**Rhode Island Office of the Attorney  
General**

**Defendant**

**Rhode Island Office of the Attorney  
General Open Government Unit**

**Defendant**

**Adam Roach**

**Defendant**

**Peter Neronha**

**Defendant****Tyler Technologies, Inc.**

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
03/30/2023	<u>1</u>	COMPLAINT ( filing fee paid \$ 402.00 receipt number ARIDC-1855567 ), filed by Mary Seguin.(DaCruz, Kayla) (Entered: 03/30/2023)
03/30/2023		<b>CORRECTIVE DOCKET ENTRY</b> Regarding: <u>1</u> Complaint. <b>CORRECTIVE DOCKET ENTRY:</b> This case has been electronically filed and processed, however, after a quality control review the following deficiencies were found: <a href="#">Civil Cover Sheet not attached</a> . <b>The filer is directed to file a Civil Cover Sheet within one day.</b> (DaCruz, Kayla) (Entered: 03/30/2023)
03/30/2023	<u>2</u>	Civil Cover Sheet filed by Mary Seguin. Regarding New Case: <u>1</u> Complaint. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Email)(DaCruz, Kayla) (Entered: 03/30/2023)
03/30/2023	<u>3</u>	Summons Request filed by Mary Seguin. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Rhode Island Department of Human Services Office of Child Support Services Summons, # <u>2</u> Gero Meyersiek Summons)(DaCruz, Kayla) (Additional attachment(s) added on 3/30/2023: # <u>3</u> Email) (DaCruz, Kayla). (Entered: 03/30/2023)
03/30/2023	<u>4</u>	MOTION for Leave to Proceed as Pro Se Electronic Filer filed by Mary Seguin. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Email)(DaCruz, Kayla) (Entered: 03/30/2023)
03/30/2023		CASE CONDITIONALLY ASSIGNED to District Judge William E. Smith and Magistrate Judge Patricia A. Sullivan. Related Case Number 23cv34 based upon a related case previously assigned to the presiding judge. The assignment is subject to the presiding judge's determination that the cases, in fact, are related. (DaCruz, Kayla) (Entered: 03/30/2023)
03/30/2023	<u>5</u>	CASE OPENING NOTICE ISSUED (DaCruz, Kayla) (Entered: 03/30/2023)
03/30/2023	<u>6</u>	Summons Issued as to Gero Meyersiek, Rhode Island Department of Human Services, Rhode Island Department of Human Services Office of Child Support Services. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Rhode Island Department of Human Services Office Of Child Support Services Summons, # <u>2</u> Gero Meyersiek Summons)(DaCruz, Kayla) (Entered: 03/30/2023)
03/30/2023		TEXT ORDER granting <u>4</u> Motion for Leave to Proceed as Pro Se Electronic Filer. So Ordered by District Judge William E. Smith on 3/30/2023. (Urizandi, Nissheneyra) (Entered: 03/30/2023)
07/07/2023	<u>7</u>	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE entered. Show Cause Response due by 7/21/2023. So Ordered by District Judge William E. Smith on 7/7/2023. (Urizandi, Nissheneyra) (Entered: 07/07/2023)
07/07/2023		CASE PERMANENTLY ASSIGNED: Since District Judge William E. Smith has determined that this case is in fact related to CA 22-cv-34 this case is permanently assigned to District Judge William E. Smith for all further proceedings. (Urizandi, Nissheneyra) (Entered: 07/07/2023)
07/20/2023	<u>8</u>	AFFIDAVIT re <u>7</u> Order to Show Cause <i>Rule 4(m) Show Cause Declaration</i> by Mary Seguin. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibits A to B attached to Plaintiff's Rule 4(m) Show Cause Declaration)(Seguin, Mary) (Entered: 07/20/2023)

08/07/2023	<u>9</u>	NOTICE of Appearance by Marissa D. Pizana on behalf of Rhode Island Department of Human Services, Rhode Island Department of Human Services Office Of Child Support Services (Pizana, Marissa) (Entered: 08/07/2023)
08/07/2023	<u>10</u>	MOTION for an Extension of Time to File Answer re <u>1</u> Complaint <i>or Otherwise Respond to the Complaint</i> filed by Rhode Island Department of Human Services, Rhode Island Department of Human Services Office Of Child Support Services. <b>Responses due by 8/21/2023.</b> (Pizana, Marissa) (Entered: 08/07/2023)
08/07/2023	<u>11</u>	EXHIBIT IN SUPPORT by Rhode Island Department of Human Services, Rhode Island Department of Human Services Office Of Child Support Services in support of <u>10</u> MOTION for an Extension of Time to File Answer re <u>1</u> Complaint <i>or Otherwise Respond to the Complaint</i> . (Pizana, Marissa) (Entered: 08/07/2023)
08/08/2023	<u>12</u>	MOTION for an Extension of Time to Amend <u>1</u> Complaint <i>Pursuant to Rule 15</i> filed by Mary Seguin. <b>Responses due by 8/22/2023.</b> (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit A to E attached to Plaintiff Motion for Extension of Time To Amend Complaint 080823, # <u>2</u> Affidavit Affidavit in Support of Plaintiff Motion for Extension of Time to Amend Complaint 080823, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit A to D attached to Affidavit in Support of Plaintiff Motion for Extension of Time to Amend Complaint 080823)(Seguin, Mary) (Entered: 08/08/2023)
08/10/2023	<u>13</u>	NOTICE of Appearance by Joanna M. Achille on behalf of Gero Meyersiek (Achille, Joanna) (Entered: 08/10/2023)
08/10/2023	<u>14</u>	First MOTION for an Extension of Time to File Answer re <u>1</u> Complaint filed by Gero Meyersiek. <b>Responses due by 8/24/2023.</b> (Achille, Joanna) (Entered: 08/10/2023)
08/15/2023		TEXT ORDER granting <u>10</u> Motion for Extension of Time to Answer. Rhode Island Department of Human Services answer due 10/9/2023; Rhode Island Department of Human Services Office of Child Support Services answer due 10/9/2023. So Ordered by District Judge William E. Smith on 8/15/2023. (Urizandi, Nissheneyra) (Entered: 08/15/2023)
08/16/2023	<u>15</u>	RESPONSE In Opposition to <u>12</u> MOTION for an Extension of Time to Amend <u>1</u> Complaint <i>Pursuant to Rule 15</i> filed by Rhode Island Department of Human Services, Rhode Island Department of Human Services Office Of Child Support Services. <b>Replies due by 8/23/2023.</b> (Pizana, Marissa) (Entered: 08/16/2023)
08/16/2023	<u>16</u>	REPLY to Response re <u>15</u> Response to Motion, <i>Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time to Amend Complaint</i> filed by Mary Seguin. (Seguin, Mary) (Entered: 08/16/2023)
08/17/2023	<u>17</u>	MOTION for Temporary Restraining Order filed by Mary Seguin. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Supporting Memorandum Memorandum In Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Temporary Restraining Order, # <u>2</u> Affidavit Affidavit in Support of Plaintiff Motion for TRO, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibits Attached to Plaintiff Motion for TRO and Preliminary Injunction)(Seguin, Mary) (Entered: 08/17/2023)
08/17/2023	<u>18</u>	AFFIDAVIT re <u>17</u> MOTION for Temporary Restraining Order <i>and Motion for Preliminary Injunction</i> by Mary Seguin. (Seguin, Mary) (Entered: 08/17/2023)
08/18/2023	<u>19</u>	AFFIDAVIT re <u>17</u> MOTION for Temporary Restraining Order <i>and Rule 65(a) Motion for Injunctive Relief</i> by Mary Seguin. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Rhode Island Family Court Emergency Motion to Stay Proceedings)(Seguin, Mary) (Entered: 08/18/2023)
08/18/2023	<u>20</u>	

		EXHIBIT IN SUPPORT by Mary Seguin in support of <u>18</u> Affidavit, <u>17</u> MOTION for Temporary Restraining Order , <u>1</u> Complaint, <u>19</u> Affidavit <i>Affidavit in Support of Evidence Submitted for Complaint and Motion for Temporary Restraining Order.</i> (Seguin, Mary) (Entered: 08/18/2023)
08/18/2023	<u>21</u>	EXHIBIT IN SUPPORT by Mary Seguin in support of <u>1</u> Complaint . (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Documentary Evidentiary Support, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Evidentiary Support, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Evidentiary Support, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Evidentiary Support, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Evidentiary Support, # <u>6</u> Exhibit Evidentiary Support, # <u>7</u> Exhibit Audio Recording Evidentiary Support)(Seguin, Mary) (Entered: 08/18/2023)
08/18/2023	<u>22</u>	DOCKET NOTE: Audio file was received and will be maintained in the Clerk's Office. Regarding: <u>20</u> Exhibit in Support, <u>21</u> Exhibit in Support, <u>18</u> Affidavit, <u>17</u> MOTION for Temporary Restraining Order , <u>1</u> Complaint, <u>19</u> Affidavit. (Kenny, Meghan) (Entered: 08/18/2023)
08/18/2023	<u>23</u>	Emergency MOTION for Hearing re <u>17</u> MOTION for Temporary Restraining Order filed by Mary Seguin. <b>Responses due by 9/1/2023.</b> (Seguin, Mary) (Entered: 08/18/2023)
08/18/2023	<u>24</u>	Second MOTION for Hearing re <u>17</u> MOTION for Temporary Restraining Order filed by Mary Seguin. <b>Responses due by 9/1/2023.</b> (Kenny, Meghan) (Entered: 08/18/2023)
08/18/2023		TEXT ORDER denying Plaintiff's <u>17</u> Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction. Because the ongoing proceedings in state court implicate a significant state interest, the Younger abstention doctrine applies, requiring this Court to abstain from enjoining ongoing state proceedings. <u>See Younger v. Harris</u> , 401 U.S. 37 (1971); <u>Sirva Relocation, LLC v. Richie</u> , 794 F.3d 185, 191–93 (1st Cir. 2015). The ongoing proceeding in Family Court implicates the State's interest in enforcing the orders and judgments of its courts and in its ability to collect child support payments, the state court is fully competent to adjudicate Plaintiff's claims, and no <u>Younger</u> abstention exceptions apply. <u>See Sirva</u> , 794 F.3d at 192. Accordingly, Plaintiff's <u>17</u> Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction is DENIED. So Ordered by District Judge William E. Smith on 8/18/2023. (Urizandi, Nissheneyra) (Entered: 08/18/2023)
08/18/2023		TEXT ORDER granting Plaintiff's <u>12</u> Motion for an Extension of Time to Amend Complaint and Defendant Gero Meyersiek's <u>14</u> First Motion for an Extension of Time to File Answer. Because Defendants have not yet filed a responsive pleading, Plaintiff is permitted to amend her Complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a). Plaintiff is directed to file her amended complaint within fourteen days from the date of this order. In light of this extension, Defendant Gero Meyersieks request for an extension of time is also granted, and he is directed to respond to Plaintiff's amended complaint within 60 days of its filing. So Ordered by District Judge William E. Smith on 8/18/2023. (Urizandi, Nissheneyra) (Entered: 08/18/2023)
08/18/2023		TEXT ORDER denying Plaintiff's <u>23</u> Emergency Motion for Hearing and <u>24</u> Second Motion for Hearing. In light of the denial of Plaintiff's <u>17</u> Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction, Plaintiff's requests for a hearing on that motion are DENIED as MOOT. So Ordered by District Judge William E. Smith on 8/18/2023. (Urizandi, Nissheneyra) (Entered: 08/18/2023)
09/01/2023	<u>25</u>	AMENDED COMPLAINT against All Defendants, filed by Mary Seguin.(Seguin, Mary) (Entered: 09/01/2023)

09/05/2023	<u>26</u>	NOTICE by Mary Seguin <i>Notice and Demand of Claims Against Defendants' Liability Insurance Policies and Coverage</i> (Seguin, Mary) (Entered: 09/05/2023)
09/06/2023	<u>27</u>	MOTION for an Extension of Time to File Answer re <u>25</u> Amended Complaint filed by Rhode Island Department of Human Services, Rhode Island Department of Human Services Office Of Child Support Services. <b>Responses due by 9/20/2023.</b> (Pizana, Marissa) (Entered: 09/06/2023)
09/08/2023	<u>28</u>	RESPONSE In Opposition to <u>27</u> MOTION for an Extension of Time to File Answer re <u>25</u> Amended Complaint filed by Mary Seguin. <b>Replies due by 9/15/2023.</b> (Seguin, Mary) (Entered: 09/08/2023)
09/19/2023		TEXT ORDER granting <u>27</u> Motion for an Extension of Time to File Answer. Rhode Island Department of Human Services' answer is due November 17, 2023; Rhode Island Department of Human Services Office of Child Support Services' answer is due November 17, 2023. So Ordered by District Judge William E. Smith on 9/19/2023. (Urizandi, Nisshenevra) (Entered: 09/19/2023)
09/30/2023	<u>29</u>	First MOTION for Recusal <i>Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 455</i> filed by Mary Seguin. <b>Responses due by 10/16/2023.</b> (Seguin, Mary) (Entered: 09/30/2023)
10/02/2023		TEXT ORDER denying Plaintiff's <u>29</u> Motion for Recusal. Plaintiff "moves to recuse and/or disqualify" me and "all justices, judges, or magistrate judges... in the U.S. District Court of Rhode Island." A judge may be disqualified from a case if "(1) the judges impartiality may reasonably be questioned; or (2) the judge may have a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party." <u>United States v. Kelley</u> , 712 F.2d 884, 889 (1st Cir. 1983); <u>see</u> 28 U.S.C. § 455. Plaintiff argues that I and the other judges of this Court should disqualify ourselves because we did so <u>sua sponte</u> in her past lawsuits. Plaintiff does not identify any other facts to support her argument that I should recuse myself from this case. Plaintiffs argument is plainly insufficient to justify recusal and disqualification. <u>See United States v. Houston</u> , No. 3:13-10-DCR, 2013 WL 3975405, at *10 (E.D. Tenn. July 29, 2013) ("A judges recusal in a prior case does not alone require disqualification in a subsequent case."). Accordingly, Plaintiffs motion for Recusal is DENIED. So Ordered by District Judge William E. Smith on 10/2/2023. (Urizandi, Nisshenevra) (Entered: 10/02/2023)
10/17/2023	<u>30</u>	Summons Request filed by Mary Seguin. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Summons Request, # <u>2</u> Summons Request)(Kenny, Meghan) (Entered: 10/17/2023)
10/19/2023		TEXT ORDER dismissing the action under <u>Younger</u> abstention. Though not raised by the parties, the Court has the power and obligation to dismiss an action if the principles of abstention so require. <u>See Guillemand-Ginorio v. Contreras-Gomez</u> , 585 F.3d 508, 517–18 (1st Cir. 2009); <u>see also Bellotti v. Baird</u> , 428 U.S. 132, 14344 n.10 (1976) (recognizing that "abstention may be raised by the court sua sponte"). Plaintiff filed a 91–page Amended Complaint asking the Court to address the child support payments for which she alleges she is being wrongfully charged and her perceived grievance that she is being denied access to court records under the Rhode Island Access to Public Records Act ("APRA"). <u>See generally</u> Am. Compl., ECF No. <u>25</u> . Plaintiff seeks to impede both the child support proceedings before the Rhode Island Family Court ("Family Court") and her action before the Rhode Island Superior Court ("Superior Court") concerning her alleged denial of access to court records. The telltale signs that Plaintiff is attempting to encumber state court proceedings are the facts that Plaintiff, in her Amended Complaint, included as parties, among others, the Superior Court, various state court clerks, and the Chief Justice of the Rhode Island Supreme Court; Plaintiff

filed a Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction seeking to "enjoin[] and restrain[] the Defendants from continuing prosecution of the family court proceeding against the Plaintiff," Mot. for TRO and Prelim. Inj. 16, ECF No. 17; and Plaintiff asked the Court, in her Amended Complaint, to "[e]njoin the Defendants'[] enforcement and practices of rules, practices, and/or policies" of the state courts, Am. Compl. 90. The issues being considered before the Family Court directly relate to this case because, according to the Amended Complaint, Defendant Rhode Island Department of Human Services ("RIDHS") is seeking child support arrearage interest from Plaintiff. See Am. Compl.&para&para 135–37 (recognizing that RIDHS is seeking compound interest). Moreover, Plaintiff admits that she has a pending case before the Superior Court where she alleges violations of the APRA. See Am. Compl. &para 2; see also Seguin v. R.I. Dept of Human Servs., PC-2022-07215 (R.I. Super. Ct.). The Court takes judicial notice of the proceedings before both state tribunals. See Meyersiek v. Seguin, K-2001-0521M (R.I. Fam. Ct.); see also Fed. R. Evid. 201 (permitting, "at any stage of the proceeding," *sua sponte* judicial notice of facts "not subject to reasonable dispute because" they "can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned"). The Younger abstention doctrine, derived from Younger v. Harris, 401 U.S. 37 (1971), "counsels federal-court abstention when there is a pending state proceeding." Moore v. Sims, 442 U.S. 415, 423 (1979). For the doctrine to apply, certain elements must be met. "First, the pending state [court] proceeding must fall into one of three categories: 1) criminal prosecutions, 2) civil proceedings that are 'akin to criminal prosecutions' ('quasi-criminal proceedings') or 3) civil proceedings that 'implicate a State's interest in enforcing the orders and judgments of its courts.'" Credit Acceptance Corp. v. Healey, 544 F. Supp. 3d 139, 143 (D. Mass. 2021) (quoting Sirva Relocation, LLC v. Richie, 794 F.3d 185, 192 (1st Cir. 2015)). Here, relief for Plaintiff in the pending Family Court case would implicate the state's interest in enforcing the orders and judgments of its courts concerning the payment and collection of child support. See Seguin v. Bedrosian, No. 12-cv-614-JD, 2013 WL 367722, at \*2 (D.R.I. Jan. 30, 2013). Moreover, the state has an asserted interest in having appeals under the APRA be heard before the Superior Court. See R.I. Gen. Laws § 38-2-9. Second, the state court case must satisfy the factors identified in Middlesex County Ethics Committee v. Garden State Bar Association, 457 U.S. 423 (1982): "1) the state proceeding is ongoing, 2) it involves significant state interests and 3) it provides an adequate opportunity for the plaintiff to raise his federal claims in state court." Credit Acceptance Corp., 544 F. Supp. 3d at 143; see also Middlesex, 457 U.S. at 432. The first factor is satisfied because the proceedings are ongoing. The second factor is satisfied because the state has an interest in family relations and the payment and collection of child support, see Eastman v. New Hampshire, No. 11-cv-316-SM, 2012 WL 405487, at \*3 (D.N.H. Jan. 17, 2012), report and recommendation adopted, No. 11-cv-316-SM, 2012 WL 405507 (D.N.H. Feb. 8, 2012), and an interest in the "fair and orderly administration of justice" that includes maintaining sensitive and confidential judicial records, see Courthouse News Serv. v. Quinlan, 32 F.4th 15, 21 (1st Cir. 2022). As for the third factor, "[e]xcept in the most extraordinary cases, a federal court must presume that state courts, consistent with the imperatives of the Supremacy Clause, see U.S. Const. art. VI, are fully competent to adjudicate federal constitutional and statutory claims properly presented by the parties." Casa Marie, Inc. v. Super. Ct. of P.R., 988 F.2d 252, 262 (1st Cir. 1993) (footnote omitted). Nothing suggests that the Family Court and the Superior Court cannot hear Plaintiff's federal claims. Third, none of the Younger abstention exceptions apply. See Sirva, 794 F.3d at 192 (finding abstention inappropriate if 1) the state proceeding is brought "in bad faith" to harass, 2) the state forum cannot adequately protect federal rights, or 3) the state statute is "flagrantly and

		patently violative of express constitutional prohibitions" (citations omitted)). Accordingly, because the Court does not have jurisdiction over Plaintiff's case, it is DISMISSED in its entirety. Because Plaintiff has a history of filing frivolous and repetitive motions following decisions of the Court of which she disapproves, <u>see Seguin v. R.I. Office of Child Support Servs.</u> , No. 1:23-cv-00034-WES-PAS (D.R.I.), it is further ORDERED that the Courts March 30, 2023, Text Order granting Plaintiff Leave to Proceed as a Pro Se Electronic Filer is VACATED. Plaintiff's Electronic Filer privileges are revoked. <u>See</u> LR Gen 302(b). So Ordered by District Judge William E. Smith on 10/19/2023. (Simoncelli, Michael) (Entered: 10/19/2023)
10/19/2023	<u>31</u>	JUDGMENT. So Ordered by Clerk of Court on 10/19/2023. (Simoncelli, Michael) (Entered: 10/19/2023)
11/17/2023		TEXT ORDER: The Court is in receipt of Plaintiff's two recent emails to the Clerk's Office. The Court construes these emails as motions for leave to file the motions attached to those emails and denies leave to file for the reasons given in its earlier text orders. So Ordered by District Judge William E. Smith on 11/17/2023. (Urizandi, Nissheneyra) Modified on 11/17/2023 to correct a typo. (Urizandi, Nissheneyra). (Entered: 11/17/2023)
11/17/2023		TEXT ORDER: The Court is in receipt of Plaintiff's email to the Clerk's Office this afternoon. As with its order issued earlier today, the Court construes this email as a motion for leave to file the motion attached to the email and denies leave to file for the reasons given in its earlier text orders. So Ordered by District Judge William E. Smith on 11/17/2023. (Urizandi, Nissheneyra) (Entered: 11/17/2023)
11/17/2023	<u>32</u>	NOTICE OF APPEAL by Mary Seguin as to Text Order, Text Order,  <b>NOTICE TO COUNSEL: Counsel should register for a First Circuit CM/ECF Appellate Filer Account at <a href="http://pacer.psc.uscourts.gov/cmecf/">http://pacer.psc.uscourts.gov/cmecf/</a>. Counsel should also review the First Circuit requirements for electronic filing by visiting the CM/ECF Information section at <a href="http://www.ca1.uscourts.gov/cmecf">http://www.ca1.uscourts.gov/cmecf</a></b> Appeal Record due by 11/24/2023. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Kenny, Meghan) (Entered: 11/17/2023)
11/17/2023	<u>33</u>	CLERK'S CERTIFICATE AND APPELLATE COVER SHEET: Abbreviated record on appeal consisting of notice of appeal, order(s) being appealed, and a certified copy of the district court docket report transmitted to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit in accordance with 1st Cir. R. 11.0(b). <u>32</u> Notice of Appeal. Documents Sent: Text Order 11/17/2023, Text Order 11/17/2023. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Record on Appeal)(Kenny, Meghan) (Entered: 11/17/2023)
11/17/2023	<u>34</u>	MOTION Rule 59 for a New Trial filed by Mary Seguin. <b>Responses due by 12/1/2023.</b> (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Email)(DaCruz, Kayla) (Entered: 11/20/2023)
11/17/2023	<u>35</u>	MOTION Rule 60(b) filed by Mary Seguin. <b>Responses due by 12/1/2023.</b> (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Email)(DaCruz, Kayla) (Entered: 11/20/2023)
11/17/2023	<u>36</u>	DOCKET NOTE: Duplicate received regarding: <u>34</u> MOTION Rule 59 for a New Trial . (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Email) (DaCruz, Kayla) (Entered: 11/20/2023)
11/17/2023	<u>37</u>	MOTION Rule 60(b)(1) filed by Mary Seguin. <b>Responses due by 12/1/2023.</b> (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Email)(DaCruz, Kayla) (Entered: 11/20/2023)
11/20/2023		

		TEXT ORDER: On November 17, 2023, Plaintiff attempted to file three motions in this case. Plaintiff emailed these motions to the Clerk's Office because the Court revoked Plaintiff's electronic filer privileges in its October 19, 2023, text order. After reviewing the three motions, the Court denied Plaintiff leave to file. However, although Plaintiff must seek leave to file documents in another case before this Court, the same restriction does not apply in this case. Therefore, the Clerk's Office is directed to docket the three motions Plaintiff sought to file. Plaintiff has now appealed, so this Court has limited jurisdiction, but when Plaintiff's appeal is resolved the Court will resolve these three motions. So Ordered by District Judge William E. Smith on 11/20/2023. (Urizandi, Nissheneyra) (Entered: 11/20/2023)
11/20/2023		USCA Case Number 23-1967 for <u>32</u> Notice of Appeal, filed by Mary Seguin. (Hill, Cherelle) (Entered: 11/20/2023)
11/20/2023	<u>38</u>	NOTICE OF APPEAL by Mary Seguin as to 11/20/2023 Text Order.  <b>NOTICE TO COUNSEL: Counsel should register for a First Circuit CM/ECF Appellate Filer Account at <a href="http://pacer.psc.uscourts.gov/cmecf/">http://pacer.psc.uscourts.gov/cmecf/</a>. Counsel should also review the First Circuit requirements for electronic filing by visiting the CM/ECF Information section at <a href="http://www.ca1.uscourts.gov/cmecf">http://www.ca1.uscourts.gov/cmecf</a></b> Appeal Record due by 11/27/2023. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Email)(DaCruz, Kayla) (Entered: 11/20/2023)
11/20/2023	<u>39</u>	CLERK'S CERTIFICATE AND APPELLATE COVER SHEET: Abbreviated record on appeal consisting of notice of appeal, order(s) being appealed, and a certified copy of the district court docket report transmitted to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit in accordance with 1st Cir. R. 11.0(b). <u>38</u> Notice of Appeal. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Record on Appeal)(DaCruz, Kayla) (Entered: 11/20/2023)

MIME-Version:1.0  
From:cmeccf@rid.uscourts.gov  
To:cmeccfnef@rid.uscourts.gov  
Bcc:  
--Case Participants: Joanna M. Achille (jachille@burnslev.com), Marissa D. Pizana (ddiaz@riag.ri.gov, mpizana@riag.ri.gov), Mary Seguin (maryseguin22022@gmail.com), District Judge William E. Smith (breegan\_semonelli@rid.uscourts.gov, john\_hindley@rid.uscourts.gov, judge\_smith@rid.uscourts.gov, kathryn\_alfus@rid.uscourts.gov, mitchell\_kosht@rid.uscourts.gov, patrick\_mcgourty@rid.uscourts.gov, wesnef2@rid.uscourts.gov, wesnef@rid.uscourts.gov), Magistrate Judge Patricia A. Sullivan (juliana\_mckittrick@rid.uscourts.gov, mag\_judge\_sullivan@rid.uscourts.gov, pasnef@rid.uscourts.gov, patrick\_cunningham@rid.uscourts.gov)  
--Non Case Participants:  
--No Notice Sent:  
  
Message-Id:1900987@rid.uscourts.gov  
Subject:Activity in Case 1:23-cv-00126-WES-PAS Seguin v. Rhode Island Department of Human Services et al Order  
Content-Type:text/html

**U.S. District Court**

**District of Rhode Island**

**Notice of Electronic Filing**

The following transaction was entered on 11/20/2023 at 10:44 AM EST and filed on 11/20/2023

**Case Name:** Seguin v. Rhode Island Department of Human Services et al

**Case Number:** 1:23-cv-00126-WES-PAS

**Filer:**

**WARNING: CASE CLOSED on 10/19/2023**

**Document Number:** No document attached

**Docket Text:**

**TEXT ORDER: On November 17, 2023, Plaintiff attempted to file three motions in this case. Plaintiff emailed these motions to the Clerk's Office because the Court revoked Plaintiff's electronic filer privileges in its October 19, 2023, text order. After reviewing the three motions, the Court denied Plaintiff leave to file. However, although Plaintiff must seek leave to file documents in another case before this Court, the same restriction does not apply in this case. Therefore, the Clerk's Office is directed to docket the three motions Plaintiff sought to file. Plaintiff has now appealed, so this Court has limited jurisdiction, but when Plaintiff's appeal is resolved the Court will resolve these three motions. So Ordered by District Judge William E. Smith on 11/20/2023. (Urizandi, Nissheneyra)**

**1:23-cv-00126-WES-PAS Notice has been electronically mailed to:**

Joanna M. Achille &nbsp &nbsp jachille@burnslev.com

Marissa D. Pizana &nbsp &nbsp mpizana@riag.ri.gov, ddiaz@riag.ri.gov

Mary Seguin &nbsp &nbsp maryseguin22022@gmail.com

**1:23-cv-00126-WES-PAS Notice has been delivered by other means to:**